



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: **COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS**
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,299	04/20/2001	David Kerr	19111.0055	1841
23517	7590	07/28/2004	EXAMINER	
SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP			NGUYEN BA, PAUL H	
3000 K STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOX IP			2176	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/838,299	KERR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/18/04.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This action is responsive to applicant's Claim for Priority, filed July 18, 2001.
2. Claims 1-19 have been considered. Claims 1, 8, 14, and 17 are independent claims.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Method and System for Defining a Link between Applications Windows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 14, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cavendish et al. (“Cavendish”), U.S. Patent No. 5,408,659.

Independent Claims 1, 8, 14, 17 and Dependent Claims 2 and 10

Cavendish teaches a method and processing system for defining a link between first and second applications windows on a processing system (see Abstract), the processing system having a database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28) and at least one remote end station (col. 5, lines 61-66 → CPU with connected display device) coupled to the database a communications system, operating the end station so as to:

access a first applications window (see Fig. 3; col. 8, lines 1-14);

cause the processing system to enter a link defining mode and access second applications window, thereby causing the processing system to define link between the first and second applications windows (see Figs. 3-6; col. 8, lines 10-61 → link pane class application framework enables implementation of a link defining mode wherein a user may define a link between a first and second application window by simply dragging the icon to the respective locations in either the first or second application windows); and

generate link data defining the link, the link data being stored on the database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28 → relational database).

Claims 3, 9, and 15

Cavendish further teaches displaying the applications window on a display of the end station (see Figs. 3-6).

Claim 4

Cavendish further teaches the link being defined *to allow the second applications window to be accessed directly from the first applications window* (see Figs. 3-6; col. 8, lines 10-61 → simply drag the icon representing the second application onto the first application window using the click-and-drag method to all second applications window to be accessed directly from the first applications window).

Claims 5 and 6

Cavendish further teaches presenting the *link (defined as an icon) within the first applications window* (see Figs. 3 and 6; col. 8, lines 23-31 → an object which associates a point in one object with a point in another object is called a link).

Claim 18

Cavendish further teaches a database *storing applications data associated with the first and second applications windows* (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28 → relational database).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 7, 11-13, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cavendish et al. (“Cavendish”), U.S. Patent No. 5,408,659, in view of Cragun, U.S. Patent No. 6,177,936.

Claims 7, 11, 16, and 19

Cavendish teaches a method and processing system for defining a link between first and second applications windows on a processing system (see Abstract), the processing system having a database (see Fig. 2; col. 7, lines 25-28) and at least one remote end station (col. 5, lines 61-66 → CPU with connected display device) coupled to the database a communications system with respect to independent claims 1, 8, 14, and 17 as discussed above.

Cavendish does not specifically teach the use of a *respective identifier, wherein the link data is stored in accordance with the respective user identifier such that each user can define respective links.*

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

Since Cavendish and Cragun are both from the same field of endeavor, the purposes disclosed by Cragun would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Cavendish. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Cavendish with the teachings of Cragun to include a user profile, identified by an identifier, for storing the link defining preferences of the user for the purpose of storing a user's preferences for use at a later date.

Claim 12

Cavendish teaches the processing system with respect to claim 10 as discussed above, but does not specifically teach the storing the link data in the database in accordance with the user password.

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a *particular* user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art to use passwords to ensure that only the appropriate user can access the database storing his/her profile. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a user password for the purpose of accessing a particular user profile in a database.

Claim 13

Cavendish teaches the processing system with respect to claim 12 as discussed above, but does not specifically teach the end station processor being adapted to receive and transfer the user identifier to the center processor, and the center processor being adapted to transfer any link data stored in the database.

However, Cragun teaches a system and method for providing contextual information for multiple windows wherein a user profile is maintained for the purpose of storing a *particular* user's preferences for use at a later date (see Figs. 8 and 9; col. 8, lines 27-33).

It was commonly known to those of ordinary skill in the art to provide a processor adapted to receive and transfer a user identifier to a center processor to transfer data stored in a database for the purpose of providing storing and providing access to link definitions to the

appropriate user. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a processor adapted to receive and transfer a user identifier to a center processor to transfer data stored in a database for the purpose of providing storing and providing access to link definitions to the appropriate user.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 5724595 A USPAT 19980303 Gentner, Donald R.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (703) 305-8776. The examiner can normally be reached from 10:30 am - 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PNB



JOSEPH FEILO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER